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## 1. Role of Internal Audit

The requirement for an internal audit function in local government is detailed within the Accounts and Audit (England) Regulations 2015, which states that a relevant body must:
'Undertake an effective internal audit to evaluate the effectiveness of its risk management, control and governance processes, taking into account public sector internal auditing standards or guidance.'

The standards for 'proper practices' are laid down in the Public Sector Internal Audit Standards [the Standards - updated 2017].
The role of internal audit is best summarised through its definition within the Standards, as an:
'Independent, objective assurance and consulting activity designed to add value and improve an organisations' operations. It helps an organisation accomplish its objectives by bringing a systematic, disciplined approach to evaluate and improve the effectiveness of risk management, control and governance processes'.

The Council is responsible for establishing and maintaining appropriate risk management processes, control systems, accounting records and governance arrangements. Internal audit plays a vital role in advising the Council that these arrangements are in place and operating effectively.

The Council's response to internal audit activity should lead to the strengthening of the control environment and, therefore, contribute to the achievement of the organisations' objectives.

## 2. Purpose of report

In accordance with proper internal audit practices (Public Sector Internal Audit Standards), and the Internal Audit Charter the Chief Internal Auditor is required to provide a written status report to 'Senior Management' and 'the Board', summarising:

- The status of 'live' internal audit reports;
- an update on progress against the annual audit plan;
- a summary of internal audit performance, planning and resourcing issues; and
- a summary of significant issues that impact on the Chief Internal Auditor's annual opinion.

Internal audit reviews culminate in an opinion on the assurance that can be placed on the effectiveness of the framework of risk management, control and governance designed to support the achievement of management objectives of the service area under review. Assurance opinions are categorised as follows:

Substantial A sound system of governance, risk management and control exists, with internal controls operating effectively and being consistently applied to support the achievement of objectives in the area audited.

Reasonable There is a generally sound system of governance, risk management and control in place. Some issues, non-compliance or scope for improvement were identified which may put at risk the achievement of objectives in the area audited.

Limited Significant gaps, weaknesses or non-compliance were identified. Improvement is required to the system of governance, risk management and control to effectively manage risks to the achievement of objectives in the area audited.

No Immediate action is required to address fundamental gaps, weaknesses or non-compliance identified. The system of governance, risk management and control is inadequate to effectively manage risks to the achievement of objectives in the area audited.

## 3. Performance dashboard



*Results are the outcomes of a Partnership wide survey undertaken in April 2023.

## Compliance with Public Sector Internal Audit Standards

An 'External Quality Assessment' of the Southern Internal Audit Partnership was undertaken by the Institute of Internal Auditors (IIA) in September 2020. The report concluded:
'The mandatory elements of the IPPF include the Definition of Internal Auditing, Code of Ethics, Core Principles and International Standards. There are 64 fundamental principles to achieve with 118 points of recommended practice. We assess against the principles. It is our view that the Southern Internal Audit Partnership conforms to all 64 of these principles.

We have also reviewed SIAP conformance with the Public Sector Internal Audit Standards (PSIAS) and Local Government Application Note (LGAN). We are pleased to report that SIAP conform with all relevant, associated elements.'

## 4. Analysis of ‘Live’ audit reviews

| Audit Review | Report Date | Audit <br> Sponsor | Assurance Opinion | Total <br> Management Action(s) | Not <br> Accepted | Not Yet Due | Complete | Overdue |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | L | M | H |
| Accounts Payable | Mar 23 | Corporate | Satisfactory* | 2 |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |
| Housing Benefits | Jun 23 | Corporate | Satisfactory* | 1 |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| Revenues | Jun 23 | Corporate | Satisfactory* | 1 |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |
| Payroll | Jun 23 | Corporate | Satisfactory* | 3 |  | 3 |  |  |  |  |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 1 | 0 |

() the figure in brackets indicates high priority management action(s).
*Indicates an assurance opinion relating to a 22/23 audit review - assurance opinion definitions for these reviews are detailed at Annexe 3

## Audit Sponsor

| Chief Executive Daryl Phillips |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Executive Director of Community Services Kirsty Jenkins | Executive Director of Corporate Services Graeme Clark | Executive Director of Place Services Mark Jaggard |

## 5. Executive Summaries of reports published concluding a 'Limited' or 'No' assurance opinion

There have been no limited assurance opinions issued.

## 6. Planning \& Resourcing

The internal audit plan for 2023-24 was presented to and agreed by the Senior Leadership Team and the Audit Committee in March 2023. The audit plan remains fluid to provide a responsive service that reacts to the changing needs of the Council. Progress against the plan is detailed within section 7.

## 7. Rolling Work Programme

| Audit Review | Sponsor | Scoping | ToR | Fieldwork | Draft <br> Report | Final Report | Assurance Opinion | Comment |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2022/23 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Payroll | Corporate | - | - | - | - | Jun 23 | Satisfactory |  |
| IT Controls | Corporate | - | - | - | - |  |  | With S151 |
| Housing Benefit | Corporate | - | - | - | - | Jun 23 | Satisfactory |  |
| Revenues (CTax/NNDR) | Corporate | - | - | - | - | Jun 23 | Satisfactory |  |
| Procurement | Corporate | - | - | - | - |  |  | With S151 |
| Planning Performance | Place | - | - | - | - |  |  | With S151 |
| 2023/24 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Information Governance | Corporate | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |
| Risk Management | Corporate |  |  |  |  |  |  | Q3 |
| Programme \& Project Management | Corporate |  |  |  |  |  |  | Q2 |
| HR - Workforce Strategy | Corporate | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |
| Savings Realisation | Corporate |  |  |  |  |  |  | Q3 |
| Decision Making | Corporate |  |  |  |  |  |  | Q3 |
| National Fraud Initiative | Corporate |  |  |  |  |  |  | S151 to advise on latest position |
| Payment Card Industry Standards | Corporate |  |  |  |  |  |  | Q4 |
| Cyber - Staff Training \& Awareness | Corporate |  |  |  |  |  |  | Q2 |
| Vulnerability Management | Corporate |  |  |  |  |  |  | Q3 |


| Audit Review | Sponsor | Scoping | ToR | Fieldwork | Draft Report | Final Report | Assurance Opinion | Comment |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Accounts Receivable \& Debt Management | Corporate |  |  |  |  |  |  | Q3 |
| Housing Benefits | Corporate |  |  |  |  |  |  | Q4 |
| Climate Change | Corporate |  |  |  |  |  |  | Q3 |
| Safeguarding | Community | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |
| Licencing | Place |  |  |  |  |  |  | Q2 |
| S106 Developer Contributions | Place |  |  |  |  |  |  | Q4 |
| Waste \& Recycling | Corporate |  |  |  |  |  |  | Q4 |
| Legal Services | Corporate |  |  |  |  |  |  | Q2 |

## 8. Adjustments to the Internal Audit Plan

| Plan Variations 2023/24 |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Additions to the plan |  |  |  |
|  | N/A |  | Explanation |
| Deletions from the plan | N/A |  | Explanation |
|  |  |  |  |

Overdue 'High Priority' Management Actions

| Observation: |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Risk: | Management Action | Original <br> Due <br> Date | Revised <br> Due Date |
|  |  |  | Latest Service Update |
|  |  |  |  |

Overdue ‘Low \& Medium Priority’ Management Actions (June 2023)

| Audit Review | Report D | Opinion | Priority |  | Due Date | Revised Due |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Low | Medium |  |  |
| Revenues | Jun 23 | Satisfactory |  | 1 | Jan 23 | Aug 23 |
| Total |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |

## Assurance Rating (prior to June 2022/23 reports)

| Substantial | Substantial assurance is given where there is a sound system of control in place, which is applied consistently to ensure <br> the intended objective is met. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Satisfactory | Satisfactory assurance given where there is generally a sound system of internal control in place with only minor lapses, <br> and in general, objectives achieved. |
| Limited | Limited assurance is given where controls in place are not always applied and objectives may not be achieved, meaning <br> the Council is exposed to the risk of financial loss, fraud or the loss of reputation. |
| None | No assurance is given where weaknesses in control has resulted if a failure to achieve objectives. |

